arXiv:1610.05102v1 [math.DG] 14 Oct 2016

RULED AND QUADRIC SURFACES IN THE 3-DIMENSIONAL EUCLIDEAN SPACE SATISFYING $\Delta^{III}\boldsymbol{x} = \Lambda\boldsymbol{x}$

HASSAN AL-ZOUBI AND STYLIANOS STAMATAKIS

ABSTRACT. We consider ruled and quadric surfaces in the 3-dimensional Euclidean space which are of coordinate finite type with respect to the third fundamental form III, i.e., their position vector $\boldsymbol{x}$ satisfies the relation $\Delta^{III}\boldsymbol{x} = \Lambda\boldsymbol{x}$ where Λ is a square matrix of order 3. We show that helicoids and spheres are the only surfaces in E^3 satisfying the preceding relation.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let S be a (connected) surface in a Euclidean 3-space E^3 referred to any system of coordinates u^1, u^2, which does not contain parabolic points, we denote by b_{ij} the components of the second fundamental form $II = b_{ij}du^i du^j$ of S. Let $\varphi(u^1,u^2)$ and $\psi(u^1,u^2)$ be two sufficient differentiable functions on S. Then the first differential parameter of Beltrami with respect to the second fundamental form of S is defined by

$$\nabla^{II}(\varphi,\psi) := b^{ij}\varphi_{/i}\psi_{/j},$$

where $\varphi_{/i} := \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial u^i}$ and (b^{ij}) denotes the inverse tensor of (b_{ij}).

Let e_{ij} be the components of the third fundamental form III of S. Then the second differential parameter of Beltrami with respect to the third fundamental form of S is defined by [9]

$$\Delta^{III}\varphi := -\frac{1}{\sqrt{e}}(\sqrt{e}e^{ij}\varphi_{/i})_{/j}{}^{1},$$

where (e^{ij}) denotes the inverse tensor of (e_{ij}) and $e := \det(e_{ij})$.

In [9], S. Stamatakis and H. Al-Zoubi showed, for the position vector $\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{x}(u^1,u^2)$ of S, the relation

$$\Delta^{III}\boldsymbol{x} = \nabla^{III}(\frac{2H}{K},\boldsymbol{n}) - \frac{2H}{K}\boldsymbol{n}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\boldsymbol{n}$ is the Gauss map, K the Gauss curvature and H the mean curvature of S. Moreover, in this context, the same authors proved that the surfaces $S : \boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{x}(u^1,u^2)$ satisfying the condition

$$\Delta^{III}\boldsymbol{x} = \lambda\boldsymbol{x}, \quad \lambda\in\mathbb{R},$$

i.e., for which all coordinate functions are eigenfunctions of Δ^{III} with the same eigenvalue λ, are precisely either the minimal surfaces $(\lambda = 0)$, or the part of spheres $(\lambda = 2)$.

In [2] B.-Y. Chen introduced the notion of Euclidean immersions of finite type. In terms of B.-Y. Chen theory, a surface S is said to be of finite type, if its coordinate functions are a finite sum of eigenfunctions of the Beltrami operator Δ^{III}. Therefore the two facts mentioned above can be stated as follows

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53A05, 47A75.

Key words and phrases. Surfaces in Euclidean space, Surfaces of coordinate finite type, Beltrami operator.

[1] with sign convention such that $\Delta = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}$ for the metric $ds^2 = dx^2 + dy^2$.

- S is minimal if and only if S is of null type1.
- S lies in an ordinary sphere S^2 if and only if S is of type1.

Following [2] we say that a surface S is of finite type with respect to the fundamental form III, or briefly of finite III-type, if the position vector $\boldsymbol{x}$ of S can be written as a finite sum of nonconstant eigenvectors of the operator Δ^{III}, i.e., if

$$\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{x}_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \boldsymbol{x}_i, \qquad \Delta^{III}\boldsymbol{x}_i = \lambda_i \boldsymbol{x}_i, \quad i = 1, ..., m, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\boldsymbol{x}_0$ is a fixed vector and $\lambda_1,\ \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_m$ are eigenvalues of Δ^{III}; when there are exactly k nonconstant eigenvectors $\boldsymbol{x}_1,\ \boldsymbol{x}_2, ..., \boldsymbol{x}_k$ appearing in (1.2) which all belong to different eigenvalues $\lambda_1,\ \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_k$, then S is said to be of III-type k, otherwise S is said to be of infinite type. When $\lambda_i = 0$ for some $i = 1, 2, ..., k$, then S is said to be of null III-type k.

Up to now, very little is known about surfaces of finite III-type. Concerning this problem, the only known surfaces of finite III-type in E^3 are parts of spheres, the minimal surfaces and the parallel of the minimal surfaces which are of null III-type 2, (see [9]).

In this paper we shall be concerned with the ruled and quadric surfaces in E^3 which are connected, complete and which are of coordinate finite III-type, i.e., their position vector $\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{x}(u^1, u^2)$ satisfies the relation

$$\Delta^{III}\boldsymbol{x} = \Lambda\boldsymbol{x}, \tag{1.3}$$

where Λ is a square matrix of order 3.

In [6] F. Dillen, J. Pas and L. Verstraelen studied coordinate finite type with respect to the first fundamental form $I = g_{ij}\,du^i\,du^j$ and they proved that

Theorem 1. *The only surfaces in* $\mathbb{R}^3$ *satisfying*

$$\Delta^{I}\boldsymbol{x} = A\boldsymbol{x} + B, \qquad A \in M(3,3), \qquad B \in M(3,1)$$

are the minimal surfaces, the spheres and the circular cylinders.

(M(m,n) denotes the set of all matrices of the type (m,n)).

While in [7] O. Garay showed that

Theorem 2. *The only complete surfaces of revolutions in* $\mathbb{R}^3$*, whose component functions are eigenfunctions of their Laplacian, then the surface must be a catenoid, a sphere or a right circular cylinder.*

Recently, H. Al-Zoubi and S. Stamatakis studied coordinate finite type with respect to the third fundamental form, more precisely, in [10] they proved the following

Theorem 3. *A surface of revolution S in* $\mathbb{R}^3$*, satisfies (1.3), if and only if S is a catenoid or a part of a sphere.*

2. Main results

Our main results are the following

Proposition 1. *The only ruled surfaces in the 3-dimensional Euclidean space that satisfies (1.3), are the helicoids.*

Proposition 2. *The only quadric surfaces in the 3-dimensional Euclidean space that satisfies (1.3), are the spheres.*

Our discussion is local, which means that we show in fact that any open part of a ruled or a quadric satisfies (1.3), if it is an open part of a helicoid or an open part of a sphere respectively.

Before starting the proof of our main results we first show that the surfaces mentioned in the above propositions indeed satisfy the condition (1.3). On a helicoid the mean curvature vanishes, so, by virtue of (1.1), $\Delta^{III}\boldsymbol{x} = \mathbf{0}$. Therefore a helicoid satisfies (1.3), where Λ is the null matrix in $M(3,3)$.

Let $S^2(r)$ be a sphere of radius r centered at the origin. If $\boldsymbol{x}$ denotes the position vector field of $S^2(r)$, then the Gauss map $\boldsymbol{n}$ is given by $-\frac{\boldsymbol{x}}{r}$. For the Gauss curvature K and the mean curvature H of $S^2(r)$ we have $K = \frac{1}{r^2}$ and $H = \frac{1}{r}$, so, by virtue of (1.1), we obtain

$$\Delta^{III}\boldsymbol{x} = 2\boldsymbol{x}$$

and we find that $S^2(r)$ satisfies (1.3) with

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

3. Proof of Proposition 1

Let S be a ruled surface in E^3. We suppose that S is a non-cylindrical ruled surface. This surface can be expressed in terms of a directrix curve $\boldsymbol{\alpha}(s)$ and a unit vectorfield $\boldsymbol{\beta}(s)$ pointing along the rulings as

$$S : \boldsymbol{x}(s,t) = \boldsymbol{\alpha}(s) + t\boldsymbol{\beta}(s), \qquad s \in J, \qquad -\infty < t < \infty.$$

Moreover, we can take the parameter s to be the arc length along the spherical curve $\boldsymbol{\beta}(s)$. Then we have

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\alpha}', \boldsymbol{\beta} \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\beta} \rangle = 1, \quad \langle \boldsymbol{\beta}', \boldsymbol{\beta}' \rangle = 1, \tag{3.1}$$

where the prime denotes the derivative in s. The first fundamental form of S is

$$I = q\,ds^2 + dt^2,$$

while the second fundamental form is

$$II = \frac{p}{\sqrt{q}}ds^2 + \frac{2A}{\sqrt{q}}ds\,dt,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} q &: = \langle \boldsymbol{\alpha}', \boldsymbol{\alpha}' \rangle + 2\langle \boldsymbol{\alpha}', \boldsymbol{\beta}' \rangle t + t^2, \\ p &: = \left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}', \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}''\right) + \left[\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}', \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\beta}''\right) + \left(\boldsymbol{\beta}', \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}''\right)\right] t + \left(\boldsymbol{\beta}', \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\beta}''\right) t^2, \\ A &: = \left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}', \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\beta}'\right). \end{aligned}$$

For convenience, we put

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa &: = \langle \boldsymbol{\alpha}', \boldsymbol{\alpha}' \rangle, \qquad \lambda := \langle \boldsymbol{\alpha}', \boldsymbol{\beta}' \rangle, \\ \mu &: = \left(\boldsymbol{\beta}', \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\beta}''\right), \quad \nu := \left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}', \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\beta}''\right) + \left(\boldsymbol{\beta}', \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}''\right), \\ \rho &: = \left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}', \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}''\right), \end{aligned}$$

and thus we have

$$q = t^2 + 2\lambda t + \kappa, \qquad p = \mu t^2 + \nu t + \rho.$$

For the Gauss curvature K of S we find

$$K = -\frac{A^2}{q^2}. \tag{3.2}$$

The Beltrami operator with respect to the third fundamental form, after a lengthy computation, can be expressed as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta^{III} &= -\frac{q}{A^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} + \frac{2qp}{A^3}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s\partial t} - \left(\frac{q^2}{A^2} + \frac{qp^2}{A^4}\right)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{q_s}{2A^2} + \frac{qp_t}{A^3} - \frac{pq_t}{2A^3}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{qp_s}{A^3} - \frac{pq_s}{2A^3} - \frac{pqA'}{A^4} - \frac{qq_t}{2A^2} + \frac{p^2q_t}{2A^4} - \frac{2qpp_t}{A^4}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \\
&= Q_1\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} + Q_2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s\partial t} + Q_3\frac{\partial}{\partial s} + Q_4\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + Q_5\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$q_t := \frac{\partial q}{\partial t}, \quad q_s := \frac{\partial q}{\partial s}, \quad p_t := \frac{\partial p}{\partial t}, \quad p_s := \frac{\partial p}{\partial s}$$

and $Q_1, Q_2, ..., Q_5$ are polynomials in t with functions in s as coefficients, and $deg(Q_i) \leq 6$. More precisely we have

$$Q_1 = -\frac{1}{A^2}[t^2 + 2\lambda t + \kappa],$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_2 &= \frac{2}{A^3}[\mu t^4 + \left(2\lambda\mu + \nu\right)t^3 + \left(2\lambda\nu + \rho + \kappa\mu\right)t^2 \\
&\quad + \left(2\lambda\rho + \kappa\nu\right)t + \kappa\rho],
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_3 &= \frac{1}{A^3}[\mu t^3 + 3\lambda\mu t^2 + \left(\lambda\nu - \rho + 2\kappa\mu + \lambda' A\right)t \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\kappa' A - \lambda\rho + \kappa\nu],
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_4 &= \frac{1}{A^4}[-3\mu^2 t^5 + \left(\mu' A - \mu A' - 4\mu\nu - 7\lambda\mu^2\right)t^4 \\
&\quad + (\nu' A - \nu A' + 2\lambda\mu' A - 2\lambda\mu A' - \lambda'\mu A - A^2 \\
&\quad - 10\lambda\mu\nu - 2\mu\rho - \nu^2 - 4\kappa\mu^2)t^3 \\
&\quad + (\kappa\mu' A - \kappa\mu A' - \frac{1}{2}\kappa'\mu A + 2\lambda\nu' A - 2\lambda\nu A' - \lambda'\nu A \\
&\quad - \rho A' + \rho' A - 3\lambda A^2 - 3\lambda\nu^2 - 6\lambda\mu\rho - 6\kappa\mu\nu)t^2 \\
&\quad + (\kappa\nu' A - \kappa\nu A' - \frac{1}{2}\kappa'\nu A + 2\lambda\rho' A - 2\lambda\rho A' - \lambda'\rho A \\
&\quad - \kappa A^2 - 2\lambda^2 A^2 - 2\kappa\nu^2 + \rho^2 - 2\lambda\nu\rho - 4\kappa\mu\rho)t \\
&\quad + (\kappa\rho' A - \kappa\rho A' - \frac{1}{2}\kappa'\rho A + \lambda\rho^2 - \kappa\lambda A^2 - 2\kappa\nu\rho)],
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q_5 &= -\frac{1}{A^4}[\mu^2 t^6 + \left(2\mu\nu + 2\lambda\mu^2\right) t^5 \\ &+ (2\mu\rho + \nu^2 + 4\lambda\mu\nu + \kappa\mu^2 + A^2)\, t^4 \\ &+ (2\nu\rho + 4\lambda\mu\rho + 2\lambda\nu^2 + 2\kappa\mu\nu + 4\lambda A^2)\, t^3 \\ &+ (\rho^2 + 4\lambda\nu\rho + 2\kappa\mu\rho + \kappa\nu^2 + 4\lambda^2 A^2 + 2\kappa A^2)\, t^2 \\ &+ (2\lambda\rho^2 + 2\kappa\nu\rho + 4\lambda\kappa A^2)\, t + (\kappa\rho^2 + \kappa^2 A^2)]. \end{aligned}$$

Applying (3.3) for the position vector $\boldsymbol{x}$ one finds

$$\Delta^{III}\boldsymbol{x} = Q_1\boldsymbol{\alpha}'' + Q_2\boldsymbol{\beta}' + Q_3\boldsymbol{\alpha}' + Q_4\boldsymbol{\beta} + \left(Q_1\boldsymbol{\beta}'' + Q_3\boldsymbol{\beta}'\right) t. \tag{3.4}$$

Let $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$, $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3)$ be the coordinate functions of $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}$. By virtue of (3.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^{III} x_i &= Q_1\alpha_i'' + Q_2\beta_i' + Q_3\alpha_i' + Q_4\beta_i + \left(Q_1\beta_i'' + Q_3\beta_i'\right) t, \qquad (3.5) \\ i &= 1,2,3. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $\lambda_{ij}, i, j = 1,2,3$ the entries of the matrix Λ. Using (3.5) and condition (1.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & Q_1\alpha_i'' + Q_2\beta_i' + Q_3\alpha_i' + Q_4\beta_i + \left(Q_1\beta_i'' + Q_3\beta_i'\right) t \\ = \;& \lambda_{i1}\alpha_1 + \lambda_{i2}\alpha_2 + \lambda_{i3}\alpha_3 + (\lambda_{i1}\beta_1 + \lambda_{i2}\beta_2 + \lambda_{i3}\beta_3)\, t, \\ i \;=\; & 1,2,3. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} & -3\mu^2\beta_i t^5 + [\left(\mu' A - \mu A' - 4\mu\nu - 7\lambda\mu^2\right)\beta_i + 3\mu A\beta_i']\, t^4 \\ & + [\mu A\alpha_i' - A^2\beta_i'' + (2\nu A + 7\lambda\mu A)\beta_i' + (\nu' A - \nu A' \\ & + 2\lambda\mu' A - 2\lambda\mu A' - \lambda'\mu A - A^2 - 10\lambda\mu\nu - 2\mu\rho - \nu^2 \\ & - 4\kappa\mu^2)\beta_i]\, t^3 + [(\kappa\mu' A - \kappa\mu A' - \frac{1}{2}\kappa'\mu A + 2\lambda\nu' A \\ & - 2\lambda\nu A' - \lambda'\nu A - \rho A' + \rho' A - 3\lambda A^2 - 3\lambda\nu^2 - 6\lambda\mu\rho \\ & - 6\kappa\mu\nu)\beta_i + 3\lambda\mu A\alpha_i' - 2\lambda A^2\beta_i'' - A^2\alpha_i'' + (\lambda' A + 5\lambda\nu \\ & + 4\kappa\mu + \rho) A\beta_i']\, t^2 + [(\frac{1}{2}\kappa' A + 3\kappa\nu + 3\lambda\rho) A\beta_i' \\ & + (\kappa\nu' A - \kappa\nu A' - \frac{1}{2}\kappa'\nu A + 2\lambda\rho' A - 2\lambda\rho A' - \lambda'\rho A \\ & - \kappa A^2 - 2\lambda^2 A^2 - 2\kappa\nu^2 + \rho^2 - 2\lambda\nu\rho - 4\kappa\mu\rho)\beta_i \\ & - 2\lambda A^2\alpha_i'' - \kappa A^2\beta_i'' + \left(\lambda\nu - \rho + 2\kappa\mu + \lambda' A\right) A\alpha_i']\, t \\ & - A^4\left(\lambda_{i1}\beta_1 + \lambda_{i2}\beta_2 + \lambda_{i3}\beta_3\right) t + (\kappa\rho' A - \kappa\rho A' \\ & - \frac{1}{2}\kappa'\rho A + \lambda\rho^2 - \kappa\lambda A^2 - 2\kappa\nu\rho)\beta_i - \kappa A^2\alpha_i'' \\ & + 2\kappa\rho A\beta_i' + (\frac{1}{2}\kappa' A - \lambda\rho + \kappa\nu) A\alpha_i' \\ & - A^4\left(\lambda_{i1}\alpha_1 + \lambda_{i2}\alpha_2 + \lambda_{i3}\alpha_3\right) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

For $i = 1,2,3$, (3.6) is a polynomial in t with functions in s as coefficients. This implies that the coefficients of the powers of t in (3.6) must be zeros, so we obtain, for $i = 1,2,3$, the following equations

$$3\mu^2\beta_i = 0, \tag{3.7}$$

$$\left(\mu' A - \mu A' - 4\mu\nu - 7\lambda\mu^2\right)\beta_i + 3\mu A\beta_i' = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mu A\alpha_i' - A^2\beta_i'' + (2\nu A + 7\lambda\mu A)\beta_i' \\
&+(\nu' A - \nu A' + 2\lambda\mu' A - 2\lambda\mu A' - \lambda'\mu A \\
&-A^2 - 10\lambda\mu\nu - 2\mu\rho - \nu^2 - 4\kappa\mu^2)\beta_i = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\kappa\mu' A - \kappa\mu A' - \frac{1}{2}\kappa'\mu A + 2\lambda\nu' A - 2\lambda\nu A' - \lambda'\nu A \\
&-\rho A' + \rho' A - 3\lambda A^2 - 3\lambda\nu^2 - 6\lambda\mu\rho - 6\kappa\mu\nu)\beta_i + 3\lambda\mu A\alpha_i' \\
&-2\lambda A^2\beta_i'' - A^2\alpha_i'' + (\lambda' A + 5\lambda\nu + 4\kappa\mu + \rho)A\beta_i' = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\kappa\nu' A - \kappa\nu A' - \frac{1}{2}\kappa'\nu A + 2\lambda\rho' A - 2\lambda\rho A' - \lambda'\rho A - \kappa A^2 \\
&-2\lambda^2 A^2 - 2\kappa\nu^2 + \rho^2 - 2\lambda\nu\rho - 4\kappa\mu\rho)\beta_i - 2\lambda A^2\alpha_i'' - \kappa A^2\beta_i'' \\
&+(\frac{1}{2}\kappa' A + 2\kappa\nu + 4\lambda\rho)A\beta_i' + \left(\lambda\nu - \rho + 2\kappa\mu + \lambda' A\right)A\alpha_i' \\
= \;& A^4(\lambda_{i1}\beta_1 + \lambda_{i2}\beta_2 + \lambda_{i3}\beta_3),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\kappa\rho' A - \kappa\rho A' - \frac{1}{2}\kappa'\rho A + \lambda\rho^2 - \kappa\lambda A^2 - 2\kappa\nu\rho)\beta_i + 2\kappa\rho A\beta_i' \\
&+(\frac{1}{2}\kappa' A - \lambda\rho + \kappa\nu)A\alpha_i' - \kappa A^2\alpha_i'' \\
= \;& A^4\left(\lambda_{i1}\alpha_1 + \lambda_{i2}\alpha_2 + \lambda_{i3}\alpha_3\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

From (3.7) one finds

$$\mu = \left(\boldsymbol{\beta}', \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\beta}''\right) = 0, \tag{3.12}$$

which implies that the vectors $\boldsymbol{\beta}', \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\beta}''$ are linearly dependent, and hence there exist two functions $\sigma_1 = \sigma_1(s)$ and $\sigma_2 = \sigma_2(s)$ such that

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}'' = \sigma_1\,\boldsymbol{\beta} + \sigma_2\boldsymbol{\beta}'. \tag{3.13}$$

On differentiating $\langle\boldsymbol{\beta}', \boldsymbol{\beta}'\rangle = 1$, we obtain $\langle\boldsymbol{\beta}', \boldsymbol{\beta}''\rangle = 0$. So from (3.13) we have

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}'' = \sigma_1\boldsymbol{\beta}. \tag{3.14}$$

By taking the derivative of $\langle\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\beta}\rangle = 1$ twice, we find that

$$\langle\boldsymbol{\beta}', \boldsymbol{\beta}'\rangle + \langle\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\beta}''\rangle = 0.$$

But $\langle\boldsymbol{\beta}', \boldsymbol{\beta}'\rangle = 1$, and taking into account (3.14) we find that $\sigma_1(s) = -1$. Thus (3.14) becomes $\boldsymbol{\beta}'' = -\boldsymbol{\beta}$ which implies that

$$\beta_i'' = -\beta_i, \quad i = 1,2,3. \tag{3.15}$$

Using (3.12) and (3.15) equation (3.8) reduces to

$$2\nu A\beta_i' + (\nu' A - \nu A' - \nu^2)\beta_i = 0, \quad i = 1,2,3$$

or, in vector notation

$$2\nu A\boldsymbol{\beta}' + (\nu' A - \nu A' - \nu^2)\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{0}. \tag{3.16}$$

By taking the derivative of $\langle \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\beta} \rangle = 1$, we find that the vectors $\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\beta}'$ are linearly independent, and so from (3.16) we obtain that $\nu A = 0$. We note that $A \neq 0$, since from (3.2) the Gauss curvature vanishes, so we are left with $\nu = 0$. Then equation (3.9) becomes

$$-A^2\alpha_i'' + (\lambda' A + \rho)A\beta_i' + (\rho' A - \rho A' - \lambda A^2)\beta_i = 0, \quad i = 1,2,3$$

or, in vector notation

$$-A^2\boldsymbol{\alpha}'' + (\lambda' A + \rho)A\boldsymbol{\beta}' + (\rho' A - \rho A' - \lambda A^2)\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{0}. \tag{3.17}$$

Taking the inner product of both sides of the above equation with $\boldsymbol{\beta}'$ we find in view of (3.1) that

$$-A^2\langle \boldsymbol{\alpha}'', \boldsymbol{\beta}' \rangle + \rho A + \lambda' A^2 = 0. \tag{3.18}$$

On differentiating $\lambda = \langle \boldsymbol{\alpha}', \boldsymbol{\beta}' \rangle$ with respect to s, by virtue of (3.15) and (3.1), we get

$$\lambda' = \langle \boldsymbol{\alpha}'', \boldsymbol{\beta}' \rangle + \langle \boldsymbol{\alpha}', \boldsymbol{\beta}'' \rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{\alpha}'', \boldsymbol{\beta}' \rangle - \langle \boldsymbol{\alpha}', \boldsymbol{\beta} \rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{\alpha}'', \boldsymbol{\beta}' \rangle. \tag{3.19}$$

Hence, (3.18) reduces to $\rho A = 0$, which implies that $\rho = 0$. Thus the vectors $\boldsymbol{\alpha}', \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}''$ are linearly dependent, and so there exist two functions $\sigma_3 = \sigma_3(s)$ and $\sigma_4 = \sigma_4(s)$ such that

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}'' = \sigma_3 \boldsymbol{\beta} + \sigma_4 \boldsymbol{\alpha}'. \tag{3.20}$$

Taking the inner product of both sides of the last equation with $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ we find in view of (3.1) that $\sigma_3 = \langle \boldsymbol{\alpha}'', \boldsymbol{\beta} \rangle$.

Now, by taking the derivative of $\langle \boldsymbol{\alpha}', \boldsymbol{\beta} \rangle = 0$, we find $\langle \boldsymbol{\alpha}'', \boldsymbol{\beta} \rangle + \langle \boldsymbol{\alpha}', \boldsymbol{\beta}' \rangle = 0$, that is

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\alpha}'', \boldsymbol{\beta} \rangle + \lambda = 0, \tag{3.21}$$

and hence $\sigma_3 = -\lambda$.

Taking again the inner product of both sides of equation (3.20) with $\boldsymbol{\beta}'$ we find in view of (3.1) that

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\alpha}'', \boldsymbol{\beta}' \rangle = \sigma_4 \lambda. \tag{3.22}$$

Using (3.19) we find $\lambda' = \sigma_4 \lambda$. Thus $\sigma_4 = \frac{\lambda'}{\lambda}$. Therefore

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}'' = -\lambda \boldsymbol{\beta} + \frac{\lambda'}{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\alpha}'. \tag{3.23}$$

We distinguish two cases

Case 1: $\lambda = 0$. Because of $\rho = 0$ equation (3.17) would yield $A = 0$, which is clearly impossible for the surfaces under consideration.

Case 2: $\lambda \neq 0$. From (3.17), (3.23) and $\rho = 0$ we find that

$$-\frac{\lambda'}{\lambda}A^2\boldsymbol{\alpha}' + \lambda' A^2 \boldsymbol{\beta}' = \mathbf{0}$$

which implies that $\lambda'(\boldsymbol{\alpha}' - \lambda\boldsymbol{\beta}') = \mathbf{0}$.

If $\lambda' \neq 0$, then $\boldsymbol{\alpha}' = \lambda\boldsymbol{\beta}'$. Hence $\boldsymbol{\alpha}', \boldsymbol{\beta}'$ are linearly dependent, and so $A = 0$ which contradicts our previous assumption. Thus $\lambda' = 0$. From (3.23) we have

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}'' = -\lambda\boldsymbol{\beta}. \tag{3.24}$$

On the other hand, by taking the derivative of κ and using the last equation we obtain that κ is constant. Hence equations (3.10) and (3.11) reduce to

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{i1}\beta_1+\lambda_{i2}\beta_2+\lambda_{i3}\beta_3 &= 0,\\ \lambda_{i1}\alpha_1+\lambda_{i2}\alpha_2+\lambda_{i3}\alpha_3 &= 0,\ \ i=1,2,3\end{aligned}$$

and so $\lambda_{ij}=0, i, j=1,2,3$.

Since the parameter s is the arc length of the spherical curve $\boldsymbol{\beta}(s)$, and because of (3.12) we suppose, without loss of generality, that the parametrization of $\boldsymbol{\beta}(s)$ is

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}(s)=(\cos s,\sin s,0).$$

Integrating (3.24) twice we get

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}(s)=(c_1 s+c_2+\lambda\cos s, c_3 s+c_4+\lambda\sin s, c_5 s+c_6),$$

where $c_i, i=1,2,...,6$ are constants.

Since $\kappa=\langle\boldsymbol{\alpha}',\boldsymbol{\alpha}'\rangle$ is constant, it's easy to show that $c_1=c_3=0$. Hence $\boldsymbol{\alpha}(s)$ reduces to

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}(s)=(c_2+\lambda\cos s, c_4+\lambda\sin s, c_5 s+c_6).$$

Thus we have

$$S:\boldsymbol{x}(s,t)=(c_2+(\lambda+t)\cos s, c_4+(\lambda+t)\sin s, c_5 s+c_6)$$

which is a helicoid.

4. Proof of Proposition 2

Let now S be a quadric surface in the Euclidean 3-space E^3. Then S is either ruled, or of one of the following two kinds

$$z^2-ax^2-by^2=c,\quad abc\neq 0 \tag{4.1}$$

or

$$z=\frac{a}{2}x^2+\frac{b}{2}y^2,\quad a>0,\ b>0. \tag{4.2}$$

If S is ruled and satisfies (1.3), then by Proposition 1 S is a helicoid. We first show that a quadric of the kind (4.1) satisfies (1.3) if and only if $a=-1$ and $b=-1$, which means that S is a sphere. Next we show that a quadric of the kind (4.2) is never satisfying (1.3).

4.1. **Quadrics of the first kind.** This kind of quadric surfaces can be parametrized as follows

$$\boldsymbol{x}(u,v)=\left(u,v,\sqrt{c+au^2+bv^2}\right).$$

Let's denote the function $c+au^2+bv^2$ by ω and the function $c+a(a+1)u^2+b(b+1)v^2$ by T. Then the components g_{ij}, b_{ij} and e_{ij} of the first, second and third fundamental tensors in (local) coordinates are the following

$$g_{11}=1+\frac{(au)^2}{\omega},\quad g_{12}=\frac{abuv}{\omega},\quad g_{22}=1+\frac{(bv)^2}{\omega},$$

$$b_{11}=\frac{a\left(c+bv^2\right)}{\omega\sqrt{T}},\quad b_{12}=-\frac{abuv}{\omega\sqrt{T}},\quad b_{22}=\frac{b\left(c+au^2\right)}{\omega\sqrt{T}}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} e_{11} &= \frac{a^2}{\omega T^2}\left[(buv)^2+(bv^2+c)^2+b^2v^2\omega\right], \\ e_{12} &= \frac{ab}{\omega T^2}\left[c(a+b)uv+abuv(u^2+v^2+\omega)\right], \\ e_{22} &= \frac{b^2}{\omega T^2}\left[(auv)^2+(au^2+c)^2+a^2u^2\omega\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that ω and T are polynomials in u and v. If for simplicity we put

$$C(u,v)=(buv)^2+(bv^2+c)^2+b^2v^2\omega,$$

$$B(u,v)=uv\left[c(a+b)+ab(u^2+v^2+\omega)\right],$$

$$A(u,v)=(auv)^2+(au^2+c)^2+a^2u^2\omega,$$

then the third fundamental tensors e_{ij} turns into

$$e_{11}=\frac{a^2}{\omega T^2}C(u,v),\quad e_{12}=-\frac{ab}{\omega T^2}B(u,v),\quad e_{22}=\frac{b^2}{\omega T^2}A(u,v).$$

Hence the Beltrami operator Δ^{III} of S can be expressed as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^{III} &= -\frac{T}{(abc)^2}\left[b^2A\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2}+2abB\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u\partial v}+a^2C\frac{\partial^2}{\partial v^2}\right] \\ &\quad -\frac{T}{(abc)^2}\left[b\left(b\frac{\partial A}{\partial u}+a\frac{\partial B}{\partial v}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial u}+a\left(a\frac{\partial C}{\partial v}+b\frac{\partial B}{\partial u}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\right] \\ &\quad +\frac{T}{(abc)^2}\left[\frac{ab^2}{\omega}(uA+vB)\frac{\partial}{\partial u}+\frac{a^2b}{\omega}(uB+vC)\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\right] \\ &\quad +\frac{1}{(abc)^2}[ab^2((a+1)uA+(b+1)vB)\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \\ &\quad +a^2b((b+1)vC+(a+1)uB)\frac{\partial}{\partial v}]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

We remark that

$$b\frac{\partial A}{\partial u}+a\frac{\partial B}{\partial v}=au\left[5ab(a+1)u^2+5ab(b+1)v^2+c(3ab+5b+a)\right],$$

$$a\frac{\partial C}{\partial v}+b\frac{\partial B}{\partial u}=av\left[5ab(a+1)u^2+5ab(b+1)v^2+c(3ab+5a+b)\right],$$

$$uA+vB=\left[c+a(a+1)u^2+a(b+1)v^2\right]u\omega,$$

$$uB+vC=\left[c+b(a+1)u^2+b(b+1)v^2\right]v\omega,$$

$$(a+1)uA+(b+1)vB=u\left[c(a+1)+a(a+1)u^2+a(b+1)v^2\right]T,$$

$$(b+1)vC+(a+1)uB=v\left[c(b+1)+b(a+1)u^2+b(b+1)v^2\right]T.$$

We denote by $\lambda_{ij}, i,j=1,2,3$ the entries of the matrix Λ. On account of (1.3) we get

$$\Delta^{III}x_1=\Delta^{III}u=\lambda_{11}u+\lambda_{12}v+\lambda_{13}\sqrt{\omega}, \tag{4.4}$$

$$\Delta^{III} x_2 = \Delta^{III} v = \lambda_{21} u + \lambda_{22} v + \lambda_{23}\sqrt{\omega}, \tag{4.5}$$

$$\Delta^{III} x_3 = \Delta^{III}\sqrt{\omega} = \lambda_{31} u + \lambda_{32} v + \lambda_{33}\sqrt{\omega}.$$

Applying (4.3) on the coordinate functions $x_i, i = 1,2$ of the position vector $\boldsymbol{x}$ and by virtue of (4.4) and (4.5), we find respectively

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^{III} u &= -\frac{uT}{c^2}\left[3(a+1)u^2 + 3(b+1)v^2 + \frac{c(3b+a+2ab)}{ab}\right] \\ &= \lambda_{11} u + \lambda_{12} v + \lambda_{13}\sqrt{\omega}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^{III} v &= -\frac{vT}{c^2}\left[3(a+1)u^2 + 3(b+1)v^2 + \frac{c(b+3a+2ab)}{ab}\right] \\ &= \lambda_{21} u + \lambda_{22} v + \lambda_{23}\sqrt{\omega}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Putting $v = 0$ in (4.6), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{3a(a+1)^2}{c^2}u^5 - \frac{(a+1)(6b+a+2ab)}{bc}u^3 - \frac{(3b+a+2ab)}{ab}u \\ = \;& \lambda_{11} u + \lambda_{13}\sqrt{c+au^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $a \neq 0$ and $c \neq 0$ this implies that $a = -1$.
Similarly, if we put $u = 0$ in (4.7) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{3b(b+1)^2}{c^2}v^5 - \frac{(b+1)(b+6a+2ab)}{ac}v^3 - \frac{(b+3a+2ab)}{ab}v \\ = \;& \lambda_{22} v + \lambda_{23}\sqrt{c+bv^2}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $b = -1$. Hence S must be a sphere.

4.2. **Quadrics of the second kind.** For this kind of surfaces we can consider a parametrization

$$\boldsymbol{x}(u,v) = \left(u, v, \frac{a}{2}u^2 + \frac{b}{2}v^2\right).$$

Then the components g_{ij}, b_{ij} and e_{ij} of the first, second and third fundamental tensors are the following

$$g_{11} = 1 + (au)^2, \quad g_{12} = abuv, \quad g_{22} = 1 + (bv)^2,$$

$$b_{11} = \frac{a}{\sqrt{g}}, \quad b_{12} = 0, \quad b_{22} = \frac{b}{\sqrt{g}},$$

$$e_{11} = \frac{a^2}{g^2}(1 + b^2v^2), \quad e_{12} = -\frac{a^2b^2}{g^2}uv, \quad e_{22} = \frac{b^2}{g^2}(1 + a^2u^2),$$

where $g := \det(g_{ij}) = 1 + (au)^2 + (bv)^2$.

A straightforward computation shows that the Beltrami operator Δ^{III} of S takes the following form

$$\Delta^{III} = -\frac{g(1+a^2u^2)}{a^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} - \frac{g(1+b^2v^2)}{b^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial v^2} - 2uvg\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u\partial v} - 2ug\frac{\partial}{\partial u} - 2vg\frac{\partial}{\partial v}. \tag{4.8}$$

On account of (1.3) we get

$$\Delta^{III}x_1 = \Delta^{III}u = \lambda_{11}u + \lambda_{12}v + \lambda_{13}\left(\frac{a}{2}u^2 + \frac{b}{2}v^2\right), \tag{4.9}$$

$$\Delta^{III}x_2 = \Delta^{III}v = \lambda_{21}u + \lambda_{22}v + \lambda_{23}\left(\frac{a}{2}u^2 + \frac{b}{2}v^2\right), \tag{4.10}$$

$$\Delta^{III}x_3 = \Delta^{III}\sqrt{\omega} = \lambda_{31}u + \lambda_{32}v + \lambda_{33}\left(\frac{a}{2}u^2 + \frac{b}{2}v^2\right).$$

Applying (4.8) on the coordinate functions $x_i, i = 1,2$ of the position vector $\boldsymbol{x}$ and by virtue of (4.9) and (4.10) we find respectively

$$\Delta^{III}u = -2ug = \lambda_{11}u + \lambda_{12}v + \lambda_{13}\left(\frac{a}{2}u^2 + \frac{b}{2}v^2\right), \tag{4.11}$$

$$\Delta^{III}v = -2vg = \lambda_{21}u + \lambda_{22}v + \lambda_{23}\left(\frac{a}{2}u^2 + \frac{b}{2}v^2\right). \tag{4.12}$$

Putting $v = 0$ in (4.11), we obtain that

$$-2a^2u^3 - 2u = \lambda_{11}u + \lambda_{13}\frac{a}{2}u^2.$$

This implies that a must be zero. Putting $u = 0$ in (4.12), we obtain that

$$-2b^2v^3 - 2v = \lambda_{22}v + \lambda_{23}\frac{b}{2}v^2.$$

This implies that b must be zero, which is clearly impossible, since $a > 0$ and $b > 0$.

REFERENCES

[1] C. BAIKOUSIS, D. BLAIR: *On the Gauss map of ruled surfaces. Glasg. Math. J.* **34**, 355–359 (1992).

[2] B.-Y. CHEN: *Total mean curvature and submanifolds of finite type.* World Scientific Publisher, 1984.

[3] B.-Y. CHEN: *Some open problems and conjectures on submanifolds of finite type. Soochow J. Math.* **17**, 169–188 (1991).

[4] B.-Y. CHEN, F. DILLEN, L. VERSTRAELEN, L. VRANCKEN: *Ruled surfaces of finite type. Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. J.* **42**, 447–453 (1990).

[5] B.-Y. CHEN, F. DILLEN: *Quadrics of finite type. J. Geom.* **38**, 16–22 (1990).

[6] F. DILLEN, J. PAS, L. VERSTRAELEN: *On surfaces of finite type in Euclidean 3-space. Kodai Math. J.* **13**, 10–21 (1990).

[7] O. GARAY: *On a certain class of finite type of surfaces of revolution. Kodai Math. J.* **11**, 25–31 (1988).

[8] S. STAMATAKIS: *Der 2. Beltramishe operator der dritten Grundform einer Fläche des E^3. Proceedings of the 4^{th} international Congress of Geometry,* Thessaloniki, 392–396 (1996).

[9] S. STAMATAKIS, H. AL-ZOUBI: *On surfaces of finite Chen-type. Results Math.* **43**, 181–190 (2003).

[10] S. STAMATAKIS, H. AL-ZOUBI: *Surfaces of revolution satisfying $\Delta^{III}\boldsymbol{x} = A\boldsymbol{x}$. J. Geom. Graph.* **14**, 181–186 (2010).

[11] T. TAKAHASHI: *Minimal immersions of Riemannian manifolds. J. Math. Soc. Japan* **18**, 380–385 (1966).

DEPARTMENT OF BASIC SCIENCES, AL-ZAYTOONAH UNIVERSITY OF JORDAN, AMMAN
E-mail address: dr.hassanz@zuj.edu.jo

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ARISTOTLE UNIVERSITY OF THESSALONIKI
E-mail address: stamata@math.auth.gr